Since 2004 I have published a series of five research articles that explore various aspects of teaching and learning history with technology. These articles build not only on each other but on my earlier SOTL articles, which often provided baseline data. Through my series of articles on teaching with technology, I have been able to learn more about the most effective ways to use digital resources to promote the development of critical skills in students. Consequently, I have continuously revised my teaching methods, assignments, and materials in response to findings.

My work is firmly grounded in the philosophy of history and in constructivist theories of learning. As E.H. Carr points out, “facts” are constructs that involve interpretation, and there must be a “continuous process of interaction between the historian and [their] facts...”\(^1\) Sam Wineburg argues that historical thinking is an “unnatural act,” since “texts are not lifeless strings of facts ...” but must be “interrogated ... [and] decoded.” We want to our students to think like prosecuting attorneys but, unfortunately, students often read texts "like jurors ... unable to question witnesses directly or subject them to cross-examination."\(^2\) For students to achieve Carl Becker’s ideal of “every [one their] own historian,” constructivist theorists insist that instructors must move from being authoritative dispensers of wisdom to “midwi[ves] in the birth of understanding,”\(^3\) who enable students to construct meaning from their own experiences, often in social contexts. It is in this context that I became an early adopter of technology as a tool to develop historical skills, and began publishing articles in the 1990s on the benefits of teaching and learning with technology.

Historians have been among the most reluctant in the academy to embrace new technologies, and the prevailing attitude seems to be that, “real historians do not read bytes.”\(^4\) Some historians doubt the very possibility of creating a meaningful constructivist learning environment online, since so many computer applications embody didactic models of teaching whose focus is on information transfer rather than on constructing knowledge through learning communities.\(^5\)


Central to these concerns is the loss of the face-to-face interaction between instructor and student that would normally occur in a classroom. However, historians have failed to take into account the use of asynchronous discussion as a medium for enhancing communication between students and between the instructor and the students. Communication patterns between students and instructors change markedly in online environments, and research suggests that student learning outcomes in asynchronous discussions can at least equal if not exceed those of traditional, face-to-face courses.

**Asynchronous Discussion in Online and Hybrid Courses**

Consequently, in "History in the Digital Age: A Study of the Impact of Interactive Resources on Student Learning," I explored the extent to which asynchronous discussion might enable students to develop critical skills essential to historical analysis in a fully asynchronous World Civilization I eCore® course. I used tracking data available from WebCT to study student usage patterns, data-based profiles of the students provided by the University System of Georgia’s Office of Information and Instructional Technology, surveys conducted by OIIT, my own formative surveys, and actual student work in asynchronous discussions and on assignments.

Student postings in asynchronous discussions requiring role-playing postings based on primary sources evinced a large number of cognitive indicators, such as engaging in more than the required number of postings, pursuing original sources beyond those given in the course textbook, conducting especially vigorous debate, and extended information seeking episodes. These actually exceeded results obtained in traditional face-to-face contexts. Student perceptions were also positive. Surveys administered by the eCore® staff at the end of the fall semester 2002 showed that 64 percent of the students found the eCore® course more intellectually challenging than traditional on-campus courses. Ninety-one percent of the students rated their involvement, defined as "doing assignments, interacting with other students, and interacting with faculty," as much higher than in other college courses. However, the majority of eCore® students were non-traditional students with an average age of 33.95. Thirty-two percent had taken or were taking other eCore® courses. Their previous experience and maturity suggested that online instruction might most benefit mature students.

In "Asynchronous Discussion and Communication Patterns in Online and Hybrid History Courses," I then explored the extent to which asynchronous discussion might enhance communication and analytical skills in the context of a face-to-face class with students whose average age was nineteen. Among the benefits reported for “hybrid” courses are a greater sense of

---


community and better quality of written work. However, available studies did not explore the effect of using asynchronous discussions in mid-continuum hybrid contexts, which incorporate substantial proportions of both face-to-face as well as asynchronous communication.

I wondered whether asynchronous discussions would enhance the results of the in-class role-playing debates I already conducted using small group work to prepare for various roles. Although I anticipated that advance preparation would enhance the quality of the hybrid students’ postings, the hybrid students’ work was generally less cognitively elaborated, compared to postings made by students in the eCore® course. While the better performance of the asynchronous students might be attributable to the greater ‘lag time’ these students had for responses, an alternative and equally compelling explanation might be the greater maturity (age) and online experience of the asynchronous students. Interestingly, the hybrid students regarded the in-class preparation as essential to their success online.

Hybrid students also said their online discussion helped improve the quality of in-class discussions. Two-thirds of the 2005 hybrid class students said that the online postings made them feel more comfortable talking in class. Of the 53 percent who said they preferred to listen during in-class group discussions, all but one said that the online discussions made them more comfortable talking in class due to greater familiarity with material and knowledge of what was expected. However, the nature of the classroom discourse continued to consist largely of exchanges between the students and the instructor, but not between students and students. It rarely achieved the level of authentic student dialogue and one-half of the respondents in the hybrid class preferred the online discussions. Although the mutually synergistic relation between online discussions and in-class discussions was a very positive finding, the question remained as to how best to transplant the high level of student-student interaction that characterizes asynchronous discussions into the face-to-face classroom. I have since made some progress in this area by modifying the structure of in-class debates to make use of student moderators.

The Impact of Digital Multimedia on Student Learning
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The results reported in “History in the Digital Age” had also raised other important issues concerning the use of multimedia resources in the eCore® course. Despite the sophisticated design of the interactive maps, students in the course did very poorly on the map portion of the proctored midterm. Formative surveys showed that 80 percent relied on the “print text” option to bypass the interactive map. The majority of students viewed these areas of the course primarily as places to quickly download embedded text, spending less than 1 minute and 55 seconds per hit. Although my previously published studies of interactive multimedia maps demonstrated improved performance on exams by fourteen points over classes without the programs, the eCore® course lacked a graded quiz on the maps. Responses to the formative survey suggested that students used only those materials that they found immediately relevant to exams and papers.

In "The Toys Are Really Cool But Will the Kids Play With Them? Multimedia Usage Patterns in Asynchronous and Hybrid World History Courses," I explored in more depth the kinds of materials students tended to use the most, how and when they used them, and what sort of learning was likely to occur with different design structures. I used data mining techniques to query student usage patterns through the tracking tools in WebCT, conducted formative surveys, and compared the results from the asynchronous eCore® course to several sections of my hybrid courses. Larry Cuban, in Oversold and Underused, has recently noted that computers have not changed the way instructors teach, and my research suggested they have not changed the way students learn either. Asynchronous students make more consistent use of digitized text than do hybrid students, but both groups failed to significantly engage interactive multimedia resources. Information-gathering behavior and the desire for efficient use of time online accounted for lack of engagement. Usage patterns were also correlated to the complexity of the application. Students used multimedia applications that provide short verbal summaries more than they used complex applications, which produced cognitive overload for students. The most used and effective multimedia applications were those that promoted the lowest levels of learning. Student paradigms of learning, such as a preference for chronological materials in historical contexts and information-gathering behavior near exam times, remained unchanged by the multimedia environment. This study confirmed suspicions that “point and click applications” may not be promoting higher order learning, and suggested that the most powerful forms of learning occur in social contexts where students are assigned some real agency.

Podcasting and Student Agency

Subsequently, in "History to Go: Why iTeach With iPods," I explored whether student-produced podcasting projects might respond to this issue. One significant goal of my historical methods course is to develop writing skills. Experts on composition indicate that students who read their work aloud often correct their written errors as they go, but may not recognize that there are errors on the written page. Repeated practice in reading papers aloud improves students’ abilities to spot errors in the written text. I wanted to study the extent to which student oral podcasts enhanced with multimedia could develop writing skills. I also wondered what impact the student


podcasts might have on classroom discussion. I conducted pre- and post-formative surveys, took pre-and post-samples of writing, and used rubrics to evaluate podcasting projects.

The results were very positive. On the post-course survey, several students reported that they developed greater confidence in their oral skills, since they recorded their presentations repeatedly until they were perfected. Writing skills also improved. One student with particularly weak writing skills commented that when she heard herself reading, she became aware of organizational and other writing problems in her papers and revised her written materials repeatedly to produce a single podcast. Significantly, the student’s weakest performance on a writing assignment was the only one that was not the subject of a required podcast. Given more “lag time” to digest presentations, students demanded more intense classroom analysis from each other. Surveys indicated that the exchange of ideas went beyond what students normally experienced in classes. Students began to provide feedback on each other’s work even before distributing their podcasts. They achieved an “authentic” dialog that transcended the classroom, suggesting that podcasts might be another way to approach asynchronous discussion.

In “Stay Tuned for Podcast U: A Review of the Data on M-Learning,” my colleague Michael Gass and I explored the impact of podcasting in an institutional context, questioning whether there was any measurable difference between student performance in classes requiring student-produced podcasts (“production” courses) and those requiring use of instructor-produced podcasts (“enhanced” courses). Dr. Gass and I collected information on 52 course sections taught between 2002 and 2006 at GCSU that employed podcasting and differentiated between those sections based on the sorts of applications required. We looked at results of student opinion surveys, GPA, and hours completed per term and also correlated the data with results of formative surveys. Surprisingly, GCSU research data did not provide any significant indication that enhanced or production courses have a positive impact on student opinions of teaching, raising questions for further research. On the other hand, students in podcasting production courses completed more hours per term and there were interesting patterns in their grade distributions. Students in podcasting courses entered with higher SAT scores than the general student body and, as expected, they earned higher overall GPAs. However, there were significant differences in course grade distributions for students in enhanced courses as compared to their non-enhanced courses. Students in enhanced courses were more likely to earn an A in, were less likely to earn a C, D, or F in, and were less likely to withdraw from their enhanced courses as compared to their other courses. The difference was even more pronounced, except on the C level, between student performance in production courses as opposed to their performance in other courses. More research needs to be done on the grade distributions of particular instructors in comparison to instructors in all GCSU courses, but the data suggest that student-produced podcasts may be the most efficacious model to pursue. Formative surveys indicate that students believed they had in a deeper learning experience in production than in traditional courses, and that peer-to-peer mentoring through student-productions motivated them to perform on a higher level due to greater potential visibility.

**Conclusion**

As a result of my research, my focus has shifted from instructor-delivered multimedia resources to student agency in the digital environment, thereby improving student learning. My projects have also raised new questions for exploration, demanding continuous revision of my teaching and new testing of its impact on learning. Through making my teaching public with SOTL and collaboration with other colleagues, I hope to contribute to an evolving body of knowledge about the digital environments that most effectively facilitate a deep learning experience.
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